

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 183405

11

ORIGIN IO-03

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /004 R

11610

DRAFTED BY: IO/SCT:LNCAVANAUGH

APPROVED BY: IO/SCT:LNCAVANAUGH

----- 077152

R 041840Z AUG 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

INFO USMISSION IAEA VIENNA 5062

UNCLAS STATE 183405

FOLLOWING REPEAT TOKYO 10277 ACTION USIA INFO SECSTATE 28 JUL

QUOTE

UNCLAS TOKYO 10277

USIAC

E.O. 11652: N/A

SUBJECT: JIJI PRESS POLL ON ATOMIC POWER GENERATION IN JAPAN

SUMMARY: JIJI PRESS CONDUCTED POLL ON ATOMIC POWER GENERATION JUNE 10-13 AMONG SAMPLE OF OVER 1,500 ADULTS. (A) ALMOST TWO FIFTHS SAID JAPAN SHOULD FURTHER DEVELOP OWN ATOMIC POWER GENERATION, WHILE ABOUT ONE EIGHTH FELT OTHERWISE. (B) ASKED ABOUT PLUSES AND MINUSES OF A-POWER GENERATION, OVER ONE THIRD EXPRESSED VIEW A-POWER IS IMPORTANT SOURCE OF ENERGY TO SUBSTITUTE FOR COAL AND PETROLEUM. ONE SIXTH HELD A-POWER MORE ADVANTAGEOUS THAN THERMAL POWER GENERATION IN TERMS OF ENERGY STORAGE. AS TO MINUSES, JUST UNDER ONE THIRD EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION BY RADIOACTIVITY, FOLLOWED BY ONE FOURTH FEARFUL OF ENORMOUS DAMAGES POSSIBLE AS RESULT OF ACCIDENT. (C) TWO FIFTHS DID NOT THINK A-POWER GENERATION SAFE, WHILE ABOUT ONE EIGHTH THOUGHT IT SAFE. END SUMMARY.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 183405

FULL TEXT OF SURVEY QUESTIONS:

1. SINCE THE OIL SHOCK LAST YEAR, ATOMIC POWER GENERATION HAS BEGUN TO BE LOOKED UPON AS A NEW SOURCE OF ENERGY. CONSIDERING ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES DO YOU THINK JAPAN SHOULD FURTHER DEVELOP OWN ATOMIC POWER GENERATION, OR DON'T YOU THINK SO?: (A) SHOULD DEVELOP, 38.9; (B) DON'T THINK SO, 13.4; (C) CANNOT SAY EITHER WAY, 20.4; (D) DK, 27.4.

2. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF ATOMIC POWER GENERATION ARE LISTED ON THIS CARD. PLEASE CHOOSE AS MANY ANSWERS AS YOU THINK ARE CLOSE TO YOUR OPINION. (7580)3 430)83 ): (A) VERY LITTLE ATMOSPHERIC POLLUTION, 10.8; (B) EASIER ENERGY STORAGE THAN THERMAL POWER GENERATION, 16.4; (C) CONCERNED ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVE POLLUTION, 30.2; (D) HIGH TEMPERATURE DISRUPTION OF COOLING WATER MAY HAVE SERIOUS EFFECTS, 6.2; (E) FEEL UNEASY BECAUSE REACTOR TECHNOLOGY HAS NOT FULLY BEEN DEVELOPED, THERE HAVE BEEN SERIES OF ACCIDENTS AND BREAKDOWNS WHOSE CAUSES WERE UNKNOWN, 25.2; (F) TECHNIQUES TO DISPOSE OF RADIOACTIVE WASTES HAVE NOT BEEN ADEQUATELY DEVELOPED, 13.4 (G) POSSIBILITY OF SERIOUS DAMAGE IN CASE OF ACCIDENT, 25.4; (H) IT IS IMPORTANT SOURCE OF ENERGY TO TAKE THE PLACE OF COAL AND OIL, 36.3; (I) OTHER, 6.6; (J) DK, 25.4.

3. DO YOU THINK ATOMIC POWER GENERATION IS SAFE, OR DON'T YOU THINK SO?: (A) SAFE, 13.8; (B) DON'T THINK SO, 40.3; (C) DK, 45.9.

4. SOME OPINIONS ABOUT CONSTRUCTION OF ATOMIC POWER PLANTS ARE LISTED ON THIS CARD. PLEASE CHOOSE AS MANY ANSWERS AS YOU THINK ARE CLOSE TO YOUR OPINION. (MULTIPLE REPLIES): (A) UNDER PRESENT CIRCUMSTANCES, LOCAL RESIDENTS NATURALLY ARE OPPOSED TO CONSTRUCTION OF ATOMIC POWER PLANTS, 25.8; (B) CONSTRUCTION OF POWER PLANTS SHOULD BE PUSHED FORWARD WHILE DEVISING MEASURES TO AVOID OPPOSITION OF THE COMMUNITY, 18.4; (C) SAFETY OF REACTORS SHOULD BE PUBLICIZED SO AS TO RID PEOPLE OF THEIR PRECONCEPTION THAT REACTORS ARE DANGEROUS, 23.6; (D) RID LOCAL CITIZENS OF THEIR DISTRUST OF POWER COMPANIES, 14.6; (E) IN CONSTRUCTING POWER PLANTS, OPINIONS OF LOCAL CITIZENS SHOULD BE FULLY TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT, 34.5; (F) CARE SHOULD BE TAKEN TO RETURN PROFITS FROM ATOMIC POWER PLANT TO LOCAL COMMUNITY, 12.7; (G) AM OPPOSED TO

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 183405

DEVELOPMENT OF ATOMIC POWER GENERATION SO LONG AS JAPAN STILL HEAVILY DEPENDS ON THE UNITED STATES FOR NUCLEAR POWER TECHNOLOGY, 8.5; (H) AM OPPOSED AS I FEAR CONSTRUCTION OF ATOMIC POWER PLANTS MAY BE DIVERTED TO MILITARY PURPOSES, 7.5; (I) OTHER, 0.6; (J) DK, 30.3.

4. SURVEY WAS CONDUCTED AMONG NATIONAL PROBABILITY SAMPLE OF 1,541 VOTING AGE RESPONDENTS (20 YEARS OR OVER).

MILLER  
UNQUOTE INGERSOLL

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** PUBLIC OPINION POLLS, NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 04 AUG 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE183405  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** IO/SCT:LNCAVANAUGH  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750269-0638  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750884/aaaacwjk.tel  
**Line Count:** 117  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN IO  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** hartledg  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 03 FEB 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <03 FEB 2003 by ReddocGW>; APPROVED <05 FEB 2004 by hartledg>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** JIJI PRESS POLL ON ATOMIC POWER GENERATION IN JAPAN SUMMARY: JIJI PRESS CONDUCTED POLL ON ATOMIC POWER GENERATION  
**TAGS:** TECH, ENRG, JA  
**To:** IAEA VIENNA  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006